MAYER BROWN

Legal Update

SEC Revisiting Applicability of Proxy Rules to Proxy Voting Advice by Advisory Firms

The US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is actively reconsidering its position on the applicability of its proxy rules to proxy voting advice.

Background

In July 2020, the SEC adopted amendments to its proxy solicitation rules in order to enhance the transparency, accuracy and completeness of the information that proxy advisors, such as Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS) and Glass, Lewis & Co., provide to investors and others who vote on behalf of investors (Amendments). The Amendments codified the SEC's 2019 guidance and interpretation that voting advice produced by proxy advisors generally constitutes a solicitation under the proxy rules and that the failure to disclose material information regarding proxy voting advice could cause such advice to be misleading in violation of the proxy rules (Guidance). These provisions of the Amendments became effective on November 2, 2020. As a transition period, proxy advisors were given until December 1, 2021, to comply with new conditions to exemptions from the proxy rules' information and filing requirements that were adopted as part of the Amendments. (For more information about the Amendments, see our Legal Update, "SEC Adopts Proxy Voting Advice Rule Amendments," dated July 28, 2020.¹)

Statements Issued June 1, 2021

On June 1, 2021, SEC Chair Gary Gensler issued a public statement directing the staff "to consider whether to recommend further regulatory action regarding proxy voting advice." In particular, Chair Gensler instructed the staff to consider, among other matters, whether to recommend that the SEC revisit (1) the definition of solicitation as encompassing proxy voting advice, including the Guidance relating to that definition, and (2) the conditions on exemptions from the information and filing requirements that were contained in the Amendments.

In light of the direction from Chair Gensler, the SEC's Division of Corporation Finance issued a public statement, indicating that it will not recommend enforcement action based on the Amendments or the Guidance during the period in which the SEC is considering further regulatory action with respect to proxy voting advice.³ In addition, if the SEC retains the exemption conditions contained in the

Amendments that currently have a December 1, 2021, compliance date, the Division of Corporation Finance will not recommend any enforcement action based on those conditions for a "reasonable period of time" after any resumption by ISS of its litigation challenging the Amendments and Guidance.

In response to the statements from Chair Gensler and the Division of Corporation Finance, SEC Commissioners Hester Peirce and Elad Roisman, each of whom voted in favor of the Amendments, issued a joint statement indicating that with the compliance date for the exemption conditions still being months away, it is "challenging, if not impossible, for us to know how these requirements will work in practice" and questioning what would justify a departure from the SEC's "longstanding legal interpretation about proxy solicitation." While open to seeing what, if any, changes the staff recommends and to working with their colleagues to consider such recommendations, Commissioners Peirce and Roisman hope that SEC actions with respect to the Amendments "will not deprive users of proxy voting advice of information they need to properly consider such advice or lead them to make decisions based on misinformation."

Practical Considerations

The treatment of proxy voting advice for the purposes of proxy rules is a complex issue with divergent, and often competing, views coming from various perspectives. However, proxy voting advice can influence proxy voting outcomes. Therefore, companies and other participants in the proxy process should monitor this regulatory development closely.

For more information about the topics raised in this Legal Update, please contact the author of this Legal Update, Laura D. Richman, at +1~312~701~7304, any of the following lawyers, or any other member of our Corporate & Securities practice.

Laura D. Richman +1 312 701 7304 lrichman@mayerbrown.com Robert F. Gray, Jr. +1 713 238 2600 rgray@mayerbrown.com

Endnotes

¹ Available at https://www.mayerbrown.com/-/media/files/perspectives-events/publications/2020/07/sec-adopts-proxy-voting-advice-rule-amendments.pdf

² Available at https://www.sec.gov/news/public-statement/gensler-proxy-2021-06-01

³ Available at https://www.sec.gov/news/public-statement/corp-fin-proxy-rules-2021-06-01

⁴ Available at https://www.sec.gov/news/public-statement/peirce-roisman-response-statements-application-proxy-rules-060121?utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery

Mayer Brown is a distinctively global law firm, uniquely positioned to advise the world's leading companies and financial institutions on their most complex deals and disputes. With extensive reach across four continents, we are the only integrated law firm in the world with approximately 200 lawyers in each of the world's three largest financial centers—New York, London and Hong Kong—the backbone of the global economy. We have deep experience in high-stakes litigation and complex transactions across industry sectors, including our signature strength, the global financial services industry. Our diverse teams of lawyers are recognized by our clients as strategic partners with deep commercial instincts and a commitment to creatively anticipating their needs and delivering excellence in everything we do. Our "one-firm" culture—seamless and integrated across all practices and regions—ensures that our clients receive the best of our knowledge and experience.

Please visit mayerbrown.com for comprehensive contact information for all Mayer Brown offices.

Any tax advice expressed above by Mayer Brown LLP was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer to avoid U.S. federal tax penalties. If such advice was written or used to support the promotion or marketing of the matter addressed above, then each offeree should seek advice from an independent tax advisor.

This Mayer Brown publication provides information and comments on legal issues and developments of interest to our clients and friends. The foregoing is not a comprehensive treatment of the subject matter covered and is not intended to provide legal advice. Readers should seek legal advice before taking any action with respect to the matters

Mayer Brown is a global services provider comprising associated legal practices that are separate entities, including Mayer Brown LLP (Illinois, USA), Mayer Brown International LLP (England), Mayer Brown (a Hong Kong partnership) and Tauil & Chequer Advogados (a Brazilian law partnership) (collectively the "Mayer Brown Practices") and non-legal service providers, which provide consultancy services (the "Mayer Brown Consultancies"). The Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Consultancies are established in various jurisdictions and may be a legal person or a partnership. Details of the individual Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Consultancies can be found in the Legal Notices section of our website.

"Mayer Brown" and the Mayer Brown logo are the trademarks of Mayer Brown.

© 2021 Mayer Brown. All rights reserved.