

EDITOR'S NOTE: DATA PROTECTIONVictoria Prussen Spears

VIRGINIA CONSUMER DATA PROTECTION ACT: WHAT BUSINESSES NEED TO KNOW
Natasha G. Kohne, Michelle A. Reed,
Molly E. Whitman, Lauren E. York,
Rachel Claire Kurzweil, and Tina M. Jeffcoat

MD ANDERSON DODGES \$4.3 MILLION HIPAA PENALTY AFTER THE FIFTH CIRCUIT DEEMS OCR'S ACTIONS ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS Kimberly C. Metzger and Tiffany Kim

THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT WEIGHS IN ON DATA BREACH STANDING ISSUES
Alfred J. Saikali

DATA BREACH'S LACK OF "SENSITIVE INFORMATION" CREATES BARRIER TO STANDING IN FEDERAL CCPA LAWSUIT

CROSS-BORDER PERSONAL DATA TRANSFERS: PROPOSED NEW SCCs IMPOSE SIGNIFICANT RESTRICTIONS ON BUSINESSES

Jenny Arlington, Jay Jamooji, Sahar Abas, Natasha G. Kohne, Michelle A. Reed, and Rachel Claire Kurzweil

ePRIVACY REGULATION: EU MEMBER STATES AGREE ON A POSITION

Ulrich Worm, Ana Hadnes Bruder, Benjamin Beck, Ondrej Hajda, and Reece Randall

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report

VOLUME 7	NUMBER 5	June 2021
Editor's Note: Data Protection Victoria Prussen Spears		143
· ·	Reed, Molly E. Whitman, Lauren E. York, a M. Jeffcoat	145
MD Anderson Dodges \$4.3 M Deems OCR's Actions Arbitrar Kimberly C. Metzger and Tiffan	· ·	152
The Eleventh Circuit Weighs in Alfred J. Saikali	n on Data Breach Standing Issues	163
Data Breach's Lack of "Sensitiving Federal CCPA Lawsuit Spencer Persson	ve Information" Creates Barrier to Standing	167
Cross-Border Personal Data Tr Significant Restrictions on Bus	ransfers: Proposed New SCCs Impose	
Jenny Arlington, Jay Jamooji, Sa Michelle A. Reed, and Rachel Cl	har Abas, Natasha G. Kohne,	170
	ber States Agree on a Position der, Benjamin Beck, Ondrej Hajda, and	
Reece Randall		175



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contended C. Targowski at	-3380 is.com
Customer Services Department at	-3385 -8341
Your account manager or	-1940 -0293

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3362-4 (print) ISBN: 978-1-6328-3363-1 (eBook)

ISSN: 2380-4785 (Print) ISSN: 2380-4823 (Online) Cite this publication as:

 $[author\ name],\ [\textit{article\ title}],\ [vol.\ no.]\ PRATT'S\ PRIVACY\ \&CYBERSECURITY\ LAW\ REPORT\ [page\ number]$

(LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Laura Clark Fey and Jeff Johnson, *Shielding Personal Information in eDiscovery*, [7] PRATT'S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [143] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license.A.S. Pratt is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2021 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt Publication Editorial

Editorial Offices 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800 201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

EMILIO W. CIVIDANES

Partner, Venable LLP

CHRISTOPHER G. CWALINA

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

RICHARD D. HARRIS

Partner, Day Pitney LLP

JAY D. KENISBERG

Senior Counsel, Rivkin Radler LLP

DAVID C. LASHWAY

Partner, Baker & McKenzie LLP

CRAIG A. NEWMAN

Partner, Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP

ALAN CHARLES RAUL

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

RANDI SINGER

Partner, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

JOHN P. TOMASZEWSKI

Senior Counsel, Seyfarth Shaw LLP

TODD G. VARE

Partner, Barnes & Thornburg LLP

THOMAS F. ZYCH

Partner, Thompson Hine

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report is published nine times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2021 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974.

ePrivacy Regulation: EU Member States Agree on a Position

By Ulrich Worm, Ana Hadnes Bruder, Benjamin Beck, Ondrej Hajda, and Reece Randall*

A goal of the European Union is to support the digital transformation in Europe. The authors of this article explain the European Union's position for revised rules on the privacy and confidentiality of electronic communications.

The European Union ("EU") Member States have agreed¹ on a position for revised rules on the privacy and confidentiality of electronic communications, which allows the Council presidency to start discussions with the European Parliament on the final text of the planned ePrivacy regulation.

A DIGITAL FUTURE FOR EUROPE

The goal of the EU is to support the digital transformation in Europe. Especially in light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the EU is working to accelerate the technological transition. Digitalization is crucial in fostering new forms of growth and strengthening the EU's resilience. The EU is working on several policies contributing to achieving the goal of digital transition and the main policy areas are digital services, data economy, artificial intelligence, enabling technologies, connectivity, and cybersecurity. A key element of the digital transition is to protect the values of the EU and the fundamental rights and security of their citizens.

SCOPE OF THE ePRIVACY REGULATION

The current ePrivacy directive contains rules on the protection of privacy and confidentiality in the use of electronic communications services, but it needs to be updated in light of new technological developments such as the widespread use of Internet-based services like voice communication, e-mail and text messaging, and new techniques to track users' online behavior. The planned update of the current ePrivacy directive will be in the form of a regulation which will be directly applicable in all

^{*} Dr. Ulrich Worm (uworm@mayerbrown.com) is a partner at Mayer Brown leading the German Intellectual Property practice. Ana Hadnes Bruder (abruder@mayerbrown.com) is a senior associate and Benjamin Beck (benjamin.beck@mayerbrown.com) is an associate in the firm's Intellectual Property practice. Ondrej Hajda (ohajda@mayerbrown.com) and Reece Randall (rrandall@mayerbrown.com) are associates in the firm's Intellectual Property & IT Group and members of the Technology Transactions and Cybersecurity & Data Privacy practices.

 $^{^{1}\} https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2021/02/10/confidentiality-of-electronic-communications-council-agrees-its-position-on-eprivacy-rules/.$

EU Member States, as opposed to a directive which would need to be transposed into national law by the EU Member States.

The planned ePrivacy regulation will apply when end-users are in the EU, even when their communications data is processed outside the EU. Many ePrivacy provisions will apply to both natural and legal persons. It will cover not only the content of electronic communications transmitted through publicly available services and networks but also metadata, including, for instance, information on location, time and recipient of communication. As a main rule, electronic communications data shall be confidential and any processing of such data shall only be allowed in specific circumstances.

One hot topic relates to cookies. In line with a decision of the Court of Justice of the European Union issued in October 2019,² the Council defends that users shall have a genuine choice on whether to accept cookies. Moreover, making access to a website dependent on consent to the use of cookies for additional purposes, as an alternative to a paywall, may be lawful if the user is able to choose between this offer and an equivalent offer not involving cookie consent. Users shall be able to "whitelist" one or several providers via browser settings and service providers shall be encouraged to facilitate these settings and allow the withdrawal of consent anytime.

Other topics such as online identification, public directories, and unsolicited and direct marketing are also within the scope of the draft ePrivacy regulation.

ePRIVACY AND THE GDPR

The ePrivacy regulation will repeal the existing ePrivacy directive and specify and complement the General Data Protection Regulation ("GDPR"). Its systematic application contains parallels to that of the GDPR; in particular, the principle that processing shall only be allowed in certain specific cases. The Council's position is that processing of electronic communications data shall be permitted when the end-user concerned has given consent, when processing is necessary for the performance of an electronic communications service contract to which the user is a party, or to ensure the integrity of the communications service (e.g. checking for malware or viruses), among others.

The following are some of the legal bases for processing of metadata that are acceptable in the Council's view: to detect fraud, to protect users' vital interests, to monitor epidemics and their spread or in case of humanitarian emergencies. Similar to the GDPR, the Council maintains that the ePrivacy regulation should only allow the processing of communications data for purposes other than those for which data was

² Case C-673/17.

collected under strict conditions; in particular, that the new purpose is compatible with the initial purpose.

NEXT STEPS

The revision of the ePrivacy directive is long overdue and very welcome, but it is likely that the current proposal will undergo a few more changes during negotiations with the European Parliament before entering into force. The regulation would start to apply two years after its publication in the EU Official Journal.

ePRIVACY REGULATION AND BREXIT

As the United Kingdom is no longer part of the European Union, once a final text of the ePrivacy regulation is adopted, it will not apply directly in the UK. However, UK and other non-EU businesses might still find themselves in the scope of the ePrivacy regulation; for example, if they provide electronic communications services to end-users in the EU or send direct marketing communications to EU end-users. It remains to be seen if the UK will reform its Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulations 2003 (which transposed the ePrivacy directive into UK law) and whether any update will contain similar rules to the ones in the ePrivacy regulation.