

Legal Update

SEC Adopts Amendments to Accelerated and Large Accelerated Filer Definitions

On March 12, 2020, the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) adopted amendments to the accelerated filer and large accelerated filer definitions in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Rule 12b-2). The final amendments are intended to reduce the number of issuers that qualify as accelerated filers and reduce compliance costs for smaller reporting companies while maintaining investor protections.

As a result of the final amendments, certain low-revenue issuers will not be subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) Section 404(b) auditor attestation requirements regarding internal control over financial reporting (ICFR). In addition, these low-revenue issuers will not need to comply with the shorter SEC reporting deadlines that apply to accelerated and large accelerated filers.

The final amendments will:

- exclude from the accelerated and large accelerated filer definitions an issuer that qualifies as a smaller reporting company (SRC) under the SRC revenue test (i.e., no revenues or annual revenues of less than \$100 million in the most recent fiscal year for which audited financial statements are available), with business development

companies being excluded in analogous circumstances;

- increase the transition thresholds for accelerated and large accelerated filers becoming non-accelerated filers and for exiting large accelerated filer status;
- add a revenue test to the transition thresholds for exiting both accelerated and large accelerated filer status; and
- add a check box to the cover page of annual reports on Forms 10-K, 20-F and 40-F to indicate whether an ICFR auditor attestation is included in the filing.

The final amendments are consistent with the SEC's historical practice of providing scaled disclosure and other accommodations for smaller issuers and with recent actions by Congress to reduce unnecessary burdens on new and smaller issuers.

A copy of the adopting release is available at: <https://bit.ly/3bmeBPL>.

Background

An important distinction between accelerated and large accelerated filers and non-accelerated filers

(such as SRCs) is the requirement that an accelerated or large accelerated filer's independent auditor must attest to, and report on, management's assessment of the effectiveness of the issuer's ICFR. The attestation requirement results in certain costs and burdens for issuers. SOX Section 404(c) exempts issuers that are neither accelerated nor large accelerated filers from the attestation requirement.

In addition, accelerated and large accelerated filers are subject to shorter filing deadlines for quarterly and annual reports than non-accelerated filers. Accelerated and large accelerated filers are also subject to certain additional disclosure requirements.

Issuers subject to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act) reporting requirements are classified into various categories, including non-accelerated, accelerated and large accelerated filers, as well as SRCs and emerging growth companies. Under the existing definitions, an issuer is categorized as an accelerated filer if, at the end of its fiscal year,

- i. the issuer had an aggregate market value of the common equity held by its non-affiliates of \$75 million or more, but less than \$700 million, as of the last business day of its most recently completed second fiscal quarter,
- ii. the issuer had been subject to the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act for a period of twelve calendar months, and
- iii. the issuer had filed at least one annual report pursuant to section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

To be a large accelerated filer, an issuer must meet the second and third conditions but have an aggregate market value of common equity held by its non-affiliates of \$700 million or more.

In addition to the accelerated and large accelerated filer definitions, Rule 12b-2 currently defines an SRC as an issuer that is not an investment company, an asset-backed issuer, or a majority-owned subsidiary of a non-SRC parent that

- i. has a public float of less than \$250 million or
- ii. has annual revenues of less than \$100 million and either no public float or a public float of less than \$700 million.

If an issuer qualifies as an SRC, it may choose to prepare disclosure relying on scaled disclosure requirements.

In June 2018, the SEC adopted amendments to the SRC definition¹. Following the adoption of the amendments, some issuers became categorized as both SRCs and accelerated or large accelerated filers. Such issuers have some benefits of scaled regulation but are still required to comply with earlier filing deadlines and the costs and burdens of the ICFR auditor attestation requirement.

In May 2019, the SEC proposed amendments to the accelerated filer and large accelerated filer definitions.² Many commenters supported the portion of the proposed amendments that would exclude an issuer that is eligible to be an SRC and that meets the SRC revenue test from the accelerated and large accelerated filer definitions. Other commenters opposed the proposed amendments or suggested the need for further analysis.³ After considering the comments, the SEC adopted the final amendments as proposed.

Final Amendments

Exclusion of Low-Revenue SRCs from Accelerated and Large Accelerated Filer Definitions

The final amendments add a new condition to the definitions of accelerated and large accelerated filer that will exclude from those definitions an

issuer eligible to be an SRC under the SRC revenue test. Issuers that are eligible to be SRCs that have a public float between \$75 million and \$250 million will not be considered accelerated filers if their annual revenues are \$100 million or less. The final amendments also allow business development companies to qualify for this exclusion if they meet the requirements of the SRC revenue test using their annual investment income as the measure of annual revenue, although they will continue to be ineligible to be SRCs.

Under the final amendments, some, but not all, SRCs will become non-accelerated filers, thereby increasing the number of issuers that are exempt from the ICFR auditor attestation requirement. These issuers will be relieved of the costs associated with the attestation requirement, including those related to audit fees. According to the SEC, these compliance costs may be disproportionately burdensome for issuers that are SRCs under the SRC revenue test because they include fixed costs that are not scalable for smaller issuers. Additionally, the compliance costs directly divert funds otherwise available for investment or hiring due to diminished access of SRCs to internally-generated capital.

The SEC expects that the amendments will eliminate compliance costs for SRCs. Specifically, the SEC estimates that an issuer no longer subject to the ICFR auditor attestation requirement will be able to achieve substantial annual savings. These changes could be especially helpful to companies in the biopharmaceutical and technology industries.

The SEC believes that the final amendments are not likely to have a significant effect on the overall ability of investors in the affected issuers to make informed investment decisions, noting that many commenters agreed with this assessment. Issuers have a number of other obligations that the SEC believes will provide sufficient protections for investors in the affected issuers and allow

investors in those issuers to make informed investment decisions. These responsibilities derive from the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) requirements with respect to internal accounting controls, as well as other changes to financial reporting introduced by SOX.

The SEC believes that there may be greater costs and relatively lower benefits to including low-revenue issuers, as compared to other issuers, in the accelerated and large accelerated filer definitions. In May 2019, at the open meeting on the proposed amendments, Chairman Jay Clayton noted that the amendments “are aimed at that subset of issuers where the added step of an ICFR auditor attestation is likely to add significant costs and is unlikely to enhance financial reporting or investor protection.”

The benefits of the attestation requirement may be smaller for issuers with low revenues for several reasons, including

- lower susceptibility to the risk of misstatements, including those related to revenue recognition,
- lower risk of failure to detect and disclose material weaknesses due to less complex financial systems and controls, and
- lesser importance of financial statements in assessing issuer valuation.

Following the effective date of the final amendments, a non-accelerated filer that meets the SRC revenue test will still be subject to many obligations with respect to the ICFR, including establishing, maintaining, and assessing the effectiveness of ICFR and for management to assess internal controls.

The SEC finds that this benefit to low-revenue SRCs is consistent with its historical practice of providing scaled disclosure and other accommodations for smaller issuers. It is also consistent with recent actions by Congress to

reduce burdens on new and smaller issuers, including Title I of the JOBS Act and Section 72002 of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act of 2015.

Although the SEC did not propose a requirement that issuers report whether they have obtained an ICFR auditor attestation, the SEC requested comment on whether it should do so. After reviewing comments, the SEC decided to add a check box to the cover page of Forms 10-K, 20-F and 40-F to indicate whether an ICFR auditor attestation is included in the filing. The SEC believes that more prominent and easily accessible disclosure of this information will be useful to investors and market participants while imposing only minimal burdens on issuers. Under the new rule, all issuers will be required to include the check box on their cover pages in any annual report filed on or after the final amendments' effective date.

Increase in Transition Thresholds for Becoming Non-Accelerated Filers and Exiting Large Accelerated Filer Status

The final amendments revise the transition thresholds for issuers exiting accelerated and large accelerated filer status. Currently, once an issuer is an accelerated or a large accelerated filer, it cannot transition to non-accelerated filer status until its public float falls below a threshold that is lower than the public float threshold for initially becoming an accelerated or large accelerated filer. As discussed above, in order to be categorized as an accelerated filer, an issuer must have a public float of \$75 million or more, but less than \$700 million, as of the last business day of its most recently completed second fiscal quarter. A large accelerated filer must have a public float greater than \$700 million.

In order for an accelerated filer to become a non-accelerated filer, its public float as of the last business day of its most recently completed

second fiscal quarter must fall below \$50 million, a determination made at the end of the fiscal year. Similarly, a large accelerated filer will become an accelerated filer if its public float falls below \$500 million as of the last business day of its most recently completed second fiscal quarter determined at the end of the fiscal year, or it will become a non-accelerated filer if its public float falls below \$50 million applying that same test.

The final amendments will

- increase the public float transition threshold for accelerated and large accelerated filers to become a non-accelerated filer from \$50 million to \$60 million and
- increase the large accelerated filer public float transition provision from \$500 million to \$560 million.

The SEC expects that these increases in the transition thresholds will limit the number of cases in which an issuer would qualify as both an SRC and either an accelerated filer or large accelerated filer. Additionally, by increasing the transition thresholds, the public float transition thresholds will be 80% of the initial thresholds, which is consistent with the recent amendments to the SRC transition thresholds. Thus, the transition thresholds across the SRC, accelerated filer, and large accelerated filer definitions will be in alignment. The SEC believes this approach appropriately balances the risk of frequent reclassifications resulting from a higher percentage threshold against the risk of delaying appropriate transitions due to a lower threshold.

Addition of Revenue Test to Transition Thresholds for Exiting Accelerated and Large Accelerated Filer Status

The final amendments add the SRC revenue test to the public float transition thresholds for accelerated and large accelerated filers. Following

the effective date of the amendments, an issuer will exit large accelerated filer status and become an accelerated filer if its public float falls to less than \$560 million but more than \$60 million. It will become a non-accelerated filer if its public float falls below \$60 million or if its annual revenues fall below the applicable revenue threshold to become eligible to use the SRC accommodations.

Similarly, an issuer will exit accelerated filer status and become a non-accelerated filer if its public float falls below \$60 million or it meets the revenue test of the SRC definition.

If the SRC revenue test were not added to the accelerated filer and large accelerated filer transition thresholds, then an issuer's revenues would not be considered in determining

- whether an accelerated filer could become a non-accelerated filer, or
- whether an issuer could exit its large accelerated filer status.

In response to a comment received, the SEC noted that providing transition thresholds will mitigate any concerns as to whether the manner in which issuers recognize revenue will cause them to frequently lose and gain non-accelerated filer status.

Conclusion

The final amendments will become effective 30 days after their publication in the *Federal Register* and will apply to an annual report filing due on or after the effective date. The public float test for accelerated filer and large accelerated filer status is determined as of the last day of the issuer's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. For calendar year end SRC's, eligibility will be determined as of June 30, 2020. SRC's that will no longer be accelerated filers or large accelerated filers, should be able to immediately revise their audit plans for the remainder of the year and be in

a position to redeploy assets that would have been used on an ICFR audit for other operating purposes.

For more information about the topics raised in this Legal Update, please contact any of the following lawyers.

Ryan Eickel

+1 212 506 2265

reickel@mayerbrown.com

Michael Hermsen

+1 312 701 7960

mhermsen@mayerbrown.com

Anna T. Pinedo

+1 212 506 2275

apinedo@mayerbrown.com

Laura D. Richman

+1 312 701 7304

lrichman@mayerbrown.com

Endnotes

¹ See Mayer Brown's Legal Update, "SEC Expands Definition of Smaller Reporting Company," dated July 9, 2018, available at <http://bit.ly/2YoOOj5>.

² See Mayer Brown's Legal Update, "SEC's Proposed Amendments to Accelerated and Large Accelerated Filer Definitions," dated May 13, 2019, available at <https://bit.ly/2xiuRCi>.

³ See SEC Adopting Release, dated March 12, 2020, available at <https://bit.ly/3bmeBPI>.

Mayer Brown is a distinctively global law firm, uniquely positioned to advise the world's leading companies and financial institutions on their most complex deals and disputes. With extensive reach across four continents, we are the only integrated law firm in the world with approximately 200 lawyers in each of the world's three largest financial centers—New York, London and Hong Kong—the backbone of the global economy. We have deep experience in high-stakes litigation and complex transactions across industry sectors, including our signature strength, the global financial services industry. Our diverse teams of lawyers are recognized by our clients as strategic partners with deep commercial instincts and a commitment to creatively anticipating their needs and delivering excellence in everything we do. Our "one-firm" culture—seamless and integrated across all practices and regions—ensures that our clients receive the best of our knowledge and experience..

Please visit mayerbrown.com for comprehensive contact information for all Mayer Brown offices.

Any tax advice expressed above by Mayer Brown LLP was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer to avoid U.S. federal tax penalties. If such advice was written or used to support the promotion or marketing of the matter addressed above, then each offeree should seek advice from an independent tax advisor.

This Mayer Brown publication provides information and comments on legal issues and developments of interest to our clients and friends. The foregoing is not a comprehensive treatment of the subject matter covered and is not intended to provide legal advice. Readers should seek legal advice before taking any action with respect to the matters discussed herein.

Mayer Brown is a global services provider comprising associated legal practices that are separate entities, including Mayer Brown LLP (Illinois, USA), Mayer Brown International LLP (England), Mayer Brown (a Hong Kong partnership) and Tauil & Chequer Advogados (a Brazilian law partnership) (collectively the "Mayer Brown Practices") and non-legal service providers, which provide consultancy services (the "Mayer Brown Consultancies"). The Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Consultancies are established in various jurisdictions and may be a legal person or a partnership. Details of the individual Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Consultancies can be found in the Legal Notices section of our website.

"Mayer Brown" and the Mayer Brown logo are the trademarks of Mayer Brown.

© 2019 Mayer Brown. All rights reserved

