

## High-Level Overview of the Proposed Regulations on Interest Deduction Limitation Rules

On November 26, 2018, the Department of Treasury (“Treasury”) and US Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) released proposed regulations (“Proposed Regulations”)<sup>1</sup> that flesh out new Section 163(j).<sup>2</sup> The Section 163(j) interest limitation rule was a cornerstone of the (commonly called) Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (“TCJA”) enacted by Congress in December 2017.<sup>3</sup> It is a broad business interest limitation rule that applies to all taxpayers (subject to specified exceptions) reducing a taxpayer’s ability to deduct interest expenses. It changes the landscape for how businesses will consider funding their operations. The Proposed Regulations are thoughtful, but they are long and complicated. Treasury appears to acknowledge this in the preamble by repeatedly inviting taxpayers to provide comments in order to help create a workable framework. It seems unlikely that any taxpayer can intuitively comply with these rules. In some instances they are substance-driven, and in other instances they are more form-driven. We set forth below a brief overview of certain portions of the Proposed Regulations. We expect to focus on some specific pieces of the Proposed Regulations in subsequent installments of our Legal Update.

In general, the Proposed Regulations apply to taxable years ending after the date the Proposed Regulations are adopted as final; however, taxpayers may apply rules set forth in the Proposed Regulations so long as those rules are consistently applied.

Under Section 163(j), on an annual basis, taxpayers can deduct net business interest expenses up to 30% of their adjusted taxable income (“ATI”), which does not include a reduction for depreciation and amortization for tax years beginning before January 1, 2022. After that, adjusted taxable income includes a reduction for depreciation and amortization, making taxpayers more likely to be subject to the limitation.

### 1. What is Interest?

Under the Proposed Regulations, interest expense is interest paid or accrued (or treated as paid or accrued) in the tax year, and interest income is interest included in gross income for the tax year. But what is interest? The Proposed Regulations use a very broad brush in defining payments and receipts as interest expense and interest income subject to Section 163(j), and it is not limited to items that are treated as interest under other provisions of the Code. The Proposed Regulations generally define interest based on the principle that interest for Section 163(j) should include any amounts associated with the time value of money or use of funds.

Examples of amounts that are treated as interest are original issue discount (including *de minimis* original issue discount), market discount, debt repurchase premium, certain amounts on a sale-repurchase agreement (repo) that is treated as debt for tax, certain amounts on the loan component of a swap with significant

nonperiodic payments, an issuer's debt issuance costs that are capitalized into the debt (and treated as if they adjust the yield for purposes of amortizing the costs), amounts on certain derivatives that alter a taxpayer's effective cost of borrowing with respect to a liability, and certain amounts on a synthetic debt instrument created by an integrated hedging transaction. In addition, interest includes factoring income, lender commitment fees (as long as some amount of financing is provided), guaranteed payments to a partner for use of capital and substitute interest payments in a securities lending transaction.

The Proposed Regulations also provide an anti-avoidance rule that any deductible expense or loss incurred in a transaction in which the taxpayer secures the use of funds for a period of time is treated as interest expense if it is predominantly incurred in consideration of the time value of money.

The Proposed Regulations do not appear to alter situations where the payments are part of a transaction that is historically respected for tax as something other than a "use of funds" type of transaction. For example, rental payments on a true lease are not interest for purposes of Section 163(j). This is supported by the fact that the Proposed Regulations include as interest for purposes of Section 163(j) the amounts under a rental agreement treated as interest pursuant to Section 467. Similarly, while the Proposed Regulations provide that factoring income is interest income under Section 163(j) (and this is sensible under its principles), a taxpayer that factors its receivables to a buyer is engaging in a sale transaction of the receivables and so it would seem that no portion of the loss or discount on that transaction is interest expense subject to Section 163(j) (however, this is not entirely clear). Also consider that the Proposed Regulations include as interest the interest under Section 483 for deferred payments under certain contracts for the sale and exchange of property. Query whether any portion of a

prepayment or deferred payment for services is intended to be interest for purposes of Section 163(j).

## 2. What is "Business Interest"?

### A. BUSINESS INTEREST GENERALLY

Only "business" interest expense ("BIE") is subject to the Section 163(j) limitations, and only "business" interest income ("BII") is used to allow the deductibility of BIE outside of the 30% ATI limitation. Interest is BIE if it is properly allocable to a trade or business (that is not a trade or business specially excepted from Section 163(j)). "Trade or business" here means the general understanding of a trade or business pursuant to Section 162, which allows a deduction for ordinary and necessary expenses associated with carrying on a trade or business. The contours of this "trade or business" definition have produced floods of litigation, so it comes with an existing body of law and generally requires a bona fide profit motive.

BIE and BII are further distinguished from personal interest (defined in Section 163(h)(2)) and investment interest, which is addressed by Section 163(d) and generally relates to property held for investment and could include certain activities where the taxpayer does not participate materially in the venture. See Treasury Regulation section 1.163-8T for rules for allocating interest expense to investment property or activity.

However, importantly, the Proposed Regulations provide that for purposes of Section 163(j) all interest expense and interest income of a C corporation is BIE and BII. In addition, where a C corporation is a partner in a partnership, all interest income and expense allocated by the partnership to the C corporation are BIE and BII in the hands of the C corporation irrespective of whether the partnership itself has a trade or business or is otherwise excepted from Section 163(j) (other than in the case of a partnership's subpart F or GILTI inclusions that are allocable

to a non-trade or business). As mentioned below, a C corporation partner in a partnership may, or in certain circumstances must, look through the partnership for purposes of determining allocations of BIE and BII between businesses excepted from the Section 163(j) limitation and other businesses (unless the partnership is eligible for the small business exemption), and a partnership may have its own Section 163(j) limitation such that a C corporation partner will have to treat excess BIE in accordance with those rules. However, as an initial matter, the point is that a C corporation's interest items are virtually always BIE and BII subject to these rules.

## **B. EXCEPTIONS TO SECTION 163(J) LIMITATION ON BUSINESS INTEREST**

The only taxpayers wholly exempt from applying Section 163(j) to their BIE are those taxpayers meeting the small business exemption. This exemption is available for taxpayers in a year where the taxpayer has average annual gross receipts of \$25 million or less for the three preceding taxable years. The gross receipts of the taxpayer (whether a corporation, partnership or individual) are aggregated with gross receipts of any other person that is part of the commonly controlled group with the taxpayer (which generally uses a 50% or more test for control). The taxpayer also includes its distributive share of partnership gross receipts in its gross receipts calculation (if the partnership is not otherwise aggregated with the taxpayer). Arrangements entered into to avoid Section 163(j), including the use of multiple entities to avoid exceeding the minimum gross receipts threshold, may be disregarded and recharacterized by the IRS.

However, other taxpayers can avoid the Section 163(j) limitation with respect to interest that is properly allocable to an excepted trade or business. For purposes of Section 163(j), "excepted trades or businesses" are (i) the trade or business of performing services as an employee, (ii) an electing real property trade or business, (iii) an electing farming business, and

(iv) certain utility businesses. The interest expense of excepted trades or businesses is not BIE and is thus not subject to the Section 163(j) limitation. Similarly, ATI that is properly allocated to an excepted trade or business is not applicable for purposes of Section 163(j). The downside of being an excepted trade or business is that the assets of the business will be subject to an alternate depreciation schedule described in Section 168(g)(8) (i.e., slower depreciation for certain assets than otherwise, and importantly, losing the benefit of immediate expensing for qualified property). A trade or business for these purposes does not include activities that do not involve the provision of services or products to a person other than the taxpayer. For example, if a taxpayer engaged in the provision of technology services to customers also has an asset management team managing the taxpayer-owned property that houses the technology business, the taxpayer does have a real property trade or business on account of the asset management team.

A taxpayer can elect excepted trade or business treatment for eligible businesses by attaching an election statement to the tax return with certain required information, including a principal business activity code. A taxpayer may make elections for multiple trades or businesses on a single election statement.

A consolidated group (as defined in Treasury Regulation section 1.1502-1(h)) is treated as a single corporation for purposes of making the election and applying the excepted trade or business concept to its Section 163(j) limitation. In other words, the group (rather than a particular member) is treated as engaged in excepted or non-excepted trades or businesses based on overall proportional split of excepted versus non-excepted trades or businesses operated in aggregate by the members. Intercompany obligations and transactions are disregarded for purposes of the determination. Once a consolidated group has determined its overall percentage of interest expense allocated

to excepted trades or businesses and non-excepted trades or businesses, that percentage is applied to each member's interest expense (as allocable between an excepted and non-excepted trade or business) regardless of whether that member actually engaged in an excepted trade or business.

If a taxpayer (or consolidated group) has an excepted and non-excepted trade or business, the taxpayer must allocate BIE, BII and all other tax items (for purposes of ATI) between the businesses. BIE and BII is allocated among the businesses based on the relative amounts of the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the assets used in each of the businesses, with the calculation of adjusted basis performed on a quarterly basis with the average of the four quarters used for the taxable year determination. Under a de minimis exception, if 90% or more of the taxpayer's asset basis is allocable to either an excepted or non-excepted trade or business, then all BIE and BII for that year is allocable to that excepted or non-excepted trade or business.

The Proposed Regulations also provide certain methodologies for allocation where an asset is used in more than one trade or business, and provide detailed look through rules with respect to accounting for assets indirectly held by a taxpayer through its interests in partnerships, S corporations and non-consolidated C corporations.

The Proposed Regulations contain certain special rules for calculating adjusted basis for this purpose. For example, basis in land and similar inherently permanent structures is generally calculated on its unadjusted basis, basis in intangible property is calculated using ordinary Section 167 and Section 197 rules, and basis in tangible depreciable property is generally calculated under the Section 168(g) alternative depreciation system (a slower schedule than generally available). Self-created intangible assets, customer receivables and cash and cash equivalents are not taken into account for these calculations.

There are some important exceptions from the allocation of interest expense based on the adjusted basis of assets. A taxpayer must allocate interest expense on "qualified nonrecourse indebtedness" to the relevant assets associated with the borrowing. The Proposed Regulations incorporate the sourcing rules for interest expense on qualified nonrecourse indebtedness under Treasury Regulation section 1.861-10T for purposes of making the applicable determinations here. In addition, a taxpayer engaged in certain banking, insurance, financing or similar business must directly allocate interest expense and income from that business to the taxpayer's assets used in that business.

Finally, the Proposed Regulations provide for a very broad anti-abuse rule. If a principal purpose, whether or not it is outweighed by other purposes, for any purchase, sale or change in use of an asset is to artificially shift basis allocable to excepted and non-excepted trades or businesses, the additional basis or change in use will not be taken into account for purposes of these allocation rules. A taxpayer making an allocation must attach a statement to its tax return including information regarding the allocation.

In addition to the allocation of interest among excepted and non-excepted trades or businesses, the Proposed Regulations provides rules for allocating the other tax items that comprise the relevant ATI for the trades or business. That is, a taxpayer must properly allocate income and expense among excepted and non-excepted trades or businesses so that it does not, for example, increase its ATI for the non-excepted trade or business with items properly allocable to the excepted trade or business. Gross income other than dividends and interest is allocated to the trade or business that generated the gross income. Dividends, and gain or loss from dispositions of entities, are subject to special look-through rules. The allocation of expenses, losses and other deductions is based on the sourcing rules under Treasury Regulation

section 1.861-8(b) and are allocable to the trade or business in which they are definitely related (and if a deduction is definitely related to both excepted trades or businesses and non-excepted trades or businesses, then the deduction is apportioned between the businesses based on the relative amounts of the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the assets used in those trades or businesses). Deductions not definitely related to a business are ratably apportioned to all gross income.

### **C. ELECTING REAL PROPERTY EXCEPTION**

Section 163(j)(7)(B) defines an electing real property trade or business by reference to Section 469(c)(7)(C), which provides “any real property development, redevelopment, construction, reconstruction, acquisition, conversion, rental, operation, management, leasing, or brokerage trade or business.” The Proposed Regulations amend the regulations under Section 469 to provide more direction on the sort of businesses that are included in this category. In general, the Proposed Regulations define “real property” to include land, buildings, and other inherently permanent structures that are permanently affixed to land, or any interest in such property, and exclude machines and equipment that serve an active function (even if permanently affixed to land). On the other hand, property produced that is used in real property but is not real property in the hands of the producing taxpayer, like bricks and windowpanes, is not real property. In addition, real property activity for this rule is satisfied where the taxpayer is handling day-to-day operations of a trade or business relating to the maintenance and occupancy of the property that affect the availability and functionality of the property used by paying customers. The provision of significant or extraordinary personal services in connection with the real property where the use of the real property is incidental is not a qualified real property activity for this purpose.

The Proposed Regulations include an anti-abuse rule that applies if 80% or more of the value of a taxpayer's real property is leased to commonly controlled businesses.

The Proposed Regulations provide a safe harbor for a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) to qualify as an electing real property trade or business. The safe harbor, incorporating certain look through rules, generally allows a REIT to qualify its entire business as an electing real property trade or business if the REIT holds real property, shares in REITs holding real property and/or interests in partnerships holding real property (in each case, “real property” has the more expansive definition found in Treasury Regulation section 1.856-10), and its “real property financing assets” (e.g., mortgages and REMIC regular interests) are equal to 10% or less of the value of the REIT's total assets at the close of the taxable year. However, if the real property financing assets represent more than 10% of the REIT's total assets, then the REIT must allocate items between its excepted and non-excepted trades or businesses, with any REIT-held asset that meets the Treasury Regulation section 1.856-10 definition of real property treated as an asset of an excepted trade or business (to the extent the REIT makes an election for that trade or business).

Simultaneously with the Proposed Regulations, the IRS issued guidance on applying the Section 163(j) limitations to infrastructure arrangements between governmental entities and private persons, commonly known as public private partnerships, in which private persons maintain or provide services with respect to various types of infrastructure property. As noted above, Section 163(j) allows a taxpayer to elect to treat a trade or business described in Section 469(c)(7)(C) as an “electing real property trade or business,” which will then not be subject to the Section 163(j) interest deduction limitation (but will be required to use an alternate depreciation schedule described in Section 168(g)(8) for the assets used in such trade or

business). Revenue Procedure 2018-59, which becomes effective on December 10, 2018 (but may be applied for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017), creates a safe harbor that allows taxpayers to designate a trade or business conducted in connection with a “specified infrastructure arrangement” as an electing real property trade or business, thereby allowing interest allocable to that trade or business to avoid the Section 163(j) limitation (although the alternate depreciation schedule will then apply). A “specified infrastructure arrangement” is a contract of more than five years between a government and a private trade or business under which the private trade or business is responsible for designing, building, constructing, reconstructing, developing, redeveloping, managing, operating or maintaining a “qualified public infrastructure property.” A “qualified public infrastructure property” includes various types of infrastructure property available for the use or benefit of the general public, such as airports, docks, mass transit, waste facilities, water and electric facilities, qualified public educational facilities, rural broadband service facilities, and Brownfield/Superfund remediation if either owned by a government or subject to certain government regulation.

### 3. Mechanics of Section 163(j)

#### A. LIMITATION AND CARRYFORWARD

Consistent with Section 163(j)(1), the Proposed Regulations provide that the deduction of BIE cannot exceed the sum of current-year BII, 30% of the taxpayer’s adjusted taxable income and current-year floor plan financing interest expense.

The Proposed Regulations generally would apply to BIE after other provisions that defer, capitalize or disallow interest expense. Therefore, BIE that has been disallowed, deferred or capitalized in the current taxable year, or that has not yet been accrued, would not

be taken into account for purposes of Section 163(j) (although Section 163(j) would apply before the loss limitation rules of Sections 465 and 469). The Proposed Regulations reserve on the interaction of Section 163(j) with Section 108 (addressing income from discharge of indebtedness) and Section 59A (relating to the tax on the base erosion minimum tax amount).

To the extent a taxpayer’s BIE is in excess of Section 163(j)’s annual limitation, the BIE is carried forward into the succeeding year and is treated as part of that year’s BIE. Excess BII or excess ATI is not carried forward; those attributes are only relevant to the applicable current tax year. Section 163(j) applies to the total amount of BIE in a taxable year (including carryforwards of disallowed BIE from prior years) and does not directly trace to interest expense associated with any particular debt obligations. A carryforward BIE does change character and so a BIE will not become allocable to an excepted trade or business in a subsequent year even if the taxpayer only has an excepted trade or business in that later year.

A C corporation (or a consolidated group) must track its BIE in accordance with the year the BIE was generated and the Proposed Regulations’ ordering rules. In a current tax year, a C corporation first deducts BIE from the current year to the extent available under Section 163(j), and disallowed BIE carried forward from prior years is deducted in the order of the taxable years in which they arose beginning with the earliest taxable year (subject to limitations such as Section 382). This tracking is intended to assist in the application of limitations such as Section 382 and the separate return limitation year (“SRLY”) rules (see Treasury Regulation Sections 1.1502-1(e) and 1.1502-21).

#### B. DEFINITION OF ATI

The Proposed Regulations define ATI as the taxable income of the taxpayer, computed in accordance with Section 63 but without regard to the Section 163(j) limitation, with certain

adjustments. Specifically, the Proposed Regulations provide for the adjustments listed in the statute that are disregarded in computing ATI: income, gain, deduction or loss not properly allocable to a trade or business; BIE and BII; Section 172 net operating loss deductions; Section 199A qualified business income deductions; and deductions for depreciation, amortization and depletion with respect to taxable years beginning before January 1, 2022 (a capitalization into costs of goods is not a deduction for depreciation, amortization and depletion).

The Proposed Regulations also include special rules for defining the taxable income of RICs and REITs, consolidated groups, partnerships, S corporations and certain controlled foreign corporations, as well as adjustments to avoid double counting. For example, for sales or dispositions of certain property for taxable years beginning before January 1, 2022, deductions for depreciation and amortization (not to exceed the gain recognized on the sale or disposition) are subtracted from ATI. Without this subtraction, the taxpayer would have a double benefit because the depreciation would not reduce the taxpayer's ATI, but would reduce the taxpayer's tax basis in the property such that the taxpayer would have additional gain (and thus additional ATI) in the year of sale.

Only adjustments specifically required by the Proposed Regulations are allowed. For instance, a dividends received deduction under Section 243 for dividends received by a C corporation (that is neither a RIC nor a REIT) is not added back in computing ATI. Similarly, the new Section 250 deduction relating to foreign-derived intangible income and global intangible low-taxed income ("GILTI") amounts will also generally reduce ATI (without the limitations in Section 250(a)(2) that could reduce the amount of the deduction). That said, as explained below, the taxpayer may be required to add back the

Section 250 deduction to the extent it is attributable to a GILTI inclusion.

### **C. CONSOLIDATED GROUPS**

The Proposed Regulations provide that a consolidated group (as defined in Treasury Regulation Section 1.1502-1(h)) generally has a single Section 163(j) limitation. This rule does not extend to non-consolidated entities (e.g., affiliated companies that do not file a consolidated return with the group) or to partnerships whose only partners are members of the consolidated group. For a consolidated group, intercompany obligations and intercompany items are disregarded for purposes of Section 163(j). The Proposed Regulations provide rules for basis adjustments, allocations of utilized and excess BEI and BII among the members of the consolidated group, and departures or additions to consolidated group members.

### **D. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS RULES**

The Proposed Regulations provide a number of ancillary rules to address various circumstances and taxpayers. For example, the Proposed Regulations requires that foreign and domestic C corporations that are not a RIC or REIT reduce their earnings and profits for their annual BIE, irrespective of whether or not it is disallowed under Section 163(j). In addition, there are rules regarding the application to excess of BIE of the SRLY rules, Section 381 (generally requiring an acquiring corporation to succeed to and take into account certain tax items of a distributor or transferor corporation in a tax-free reorganization or liquidation) and Section 382 (generally limiting a taxpayer's ability to reduce its income by the net operating losses of an acquired target corporation), as well as special rules for applying Section 163(j) to S corporations, utilities, tax-exempt corporations, RICs and REITs (for the last two, notably, the Proposed Regulations clarify that the dividends paid deduction is not taken into consideration when calculating ATI).

## 4. Application to Partnerships

Under Section 163(j)(4), the general rule is that the Section 163(j) limitation is imposed at the partnership level, and any deduction for BIE not disallowed under Section 163(j) is taken into account in determining income or loss of the partnership and the partners' distributive shares thereof. The amount of any BIE that is not disallowed is not subject to any further limitations at the partner level under Section 163(j).<sup>4</sup> The amount of any BIE that is disallowed at the partnership level is carried forward at the partner level. Similar rules apply to an S corporation. The complexity of the Proposed Regulations with respect to partnerships is largely an attempt to preserve the aggregate nature of partnerships while remaining consistent with the statutory scheme of applying Section 163(j) at the partnership level.

### A. PARTNERSHIP ATI

A partnership generally determines its ATI in the same manner as described above (taking into account both separately and nonseparately stated items). In addition, the partnership takes into account Section 734(b) basis adjustments (i.e., adjustments to the basis of partnership assets resulting from certain distributions made to partners) for purposes of calculating its ATI.

However, under the Proposed Regulations Section 743(b) basis adjustments (i.e., adjustments to the basis of partnership assets that apply solely to a transferee partner as a result of the transfer of a partnership interest), built-in loss amounts with respect to contributed property under Section 704(c), and Section 704(c) remedial allocations are not taken into account when computing the partnership's ATI. Instead, these adjustments are taken into account by the applicable partner in determining its own Section 163(j) limitation.

### B. PARTNERS' ATI AND BUSINESS INTEREST INCOME

The ATI of a partner is generally determined in accordance with the rules described above. To prevent double counting of items already taken into account by the partnership with respect to its Section 163(j) limitation, a partner's ATI generally does not include such partner's distributive share of any of the partnership's items of income, gain, deduction or loss. However, to the extent that the partnership has "excess taxable income" (i.e., ATI in excess of the amount necessary to prevent the partnership's BIE for such year from being limited under Section 163(j)), each partner includes its allocable share of such excess taxable income in the partner's ATI. Similarly, in determining a partner's BII, the partner may include its allocable share of the partnership's BII only to the extent that such BII exceeds the partnership's BIE ("excess BII"). The determination of a partner's share of excess taxable income and excess BII is discussed below. As noted above, the partner's ATI is adjusted (upward or downward) to reflect the effects of Section 743(b) basis adjustments, built-in loss amounts with respect to Section 704(c) property and Section 704(c) remedial allocations.

In the event a partner sells a partnership interest and the partnership in which the interest is being sold owns only non-excepted trade or business assets (i.e., assets that are subject to the Section 163(j) limitation), the gain or loss on the sale of the partnership interest is included in the partner's ATI. The Proposed Regulations provide a method for allocating sale proceeds where the partnership in which the interest is being sold owns both excepted assets and non-excepted assets.



### **C. ALLOCATION OF DEDUCTIBLE BUSINESS INTEREST EXPENSE AND SECTION 163(J) EXCESS ITEMS**

The Proposed Regulations provide eleven steps for allocating deductible BIE and excess items (i.e., excess taxable income, excess BII and BIE that exceeds the Section 163(j) limitation at the partnership level). These steps are solely for this purpose and do not affect the partnership's allocations under Section 704(b). As noted above, these allocations are necessary because deductible BIE is not subject to further limitation at the partner level, and only excess items are included in calculating a partner's Section 163(j) limitation. As noted above, these steps are intended to preserve the aggregate nature of partnerships while remaining consistent with the statutory scheme of applying Section 163(j) at the partnership level. At the conclusion of the eleven steps, the total amount of deductible BIE and excess items allocated to each partner will equal the partnership's total amount of deductible BIE and excess items.

A partner's allocable share of deductible BIE as determined by these steps is deductible by the partner and is not subject to any further partner-level limitation. The partner's share of excess BIE would be carried forward at the partner level as discussed immediately below.

### **D. CARRYFORWARDS**

To the extent a partnership has BIE in excess of its Section 163(j) limitation, such excess BIE is allocated to the partners in accordance with the eleven steps noted above and is not carried forward by the partnership.

The BIE that is carried forward by a partner only becomes BIE that is treated as paid or accrued by the partner in the applicable subsequent year to the extent of the excess taxable income or excess BII that the partner is allocated from the partnership in that year. Deduction of such BIE is subject to partner-level limitations (e.g., 30% of the partner's ATI and partner's BII, including in the partner's Section 163(j) limitation

determination any allocated excess taxable income and/or excess BII). However, any amount of BIE that is treated as paid or accrued in the applicable year as a result of excess taxable income that is not deducted because of a partner-level limitation is carried forward to succeeding years as partner-level BIE that may be used to offset income, irrespective of whether income arises from the partnership in any such succeeding year.

### **E. BASIS ADJUSTMENTS**

A partner's basis in its partnership interest is reduced by its share of deductible BIE and excess BIE as determined in accordance with the eleven steps noted above, regardless of whether such BIE is deemed paid or accrued by the partner. However, deductible BIE and excess BIE are subject to the suspended loss rules under Section 704(d). Under Section 704(d), a loss is only allowed to the extent of the partner's adjusted basis in its partnership interest and any excess loss is suspended. Accordingly, the adjusted basis of a partner in a partnership interest is reduced, but not below zero, by the amount of any deductible BIE or excess BIE allocated to the partner. Under the Proposed Regulations, excess BIE from a prior taxable year that is suspended under Section 704(d) ("negative Section 163(j) expense") is not treated as excess BIE in any subsequent year until such negative Section 163(j) expense is no longer suspended. Accordingly, negative Section 163(j) expense does not affect allocation of excess taxable income to the partner and the allocation of any such excess taxable income is included in the partner's ATI. Once the negative Section 163(j) expense is no longer suspended, it becomes excess BIE, which is subject to the general carryforward rules.

If a partner disposes of all or substantially all of its partnership interest, the partner's basis in its partnership interest is recovered by increasing such basis immediately before the disposition by the amount of any excess BIE that has not been deemed paid or accrued by the partner.

However, no deduction is allowed for the excess BIE that resulted in the basis increase or any negative Section 163(j) expense.

In the event a partner disposes of less than substantially all of its interests, the partner's basis in its partnership is not increased by the amount of any excess BIE that has not been deemed paid or accrued by the partner and any such excess business interest expense would remain excess BIE in the hands of the transferor until the transferor is allocated an appropriate amount of excess taxable income or excess BII from the partnership (or added to the basis of its partnership interest upon a full disposition). In addition, any negative Section 163(j) expense remains the negative Section 163(j) expense of the transferor until such negative Section 163(j) expense is no longer suspended.

## **F. RESERVED MATTERS**

The Proposed Regulations have reserved on a few issues relating to partnerships. Importantly, Treasury has reserved on the treatment of excess BIE in tiered partnerships. Specifically, Treasury requests comments regarding whether, in a tiered partnership arrangement, carryforwards should be allocated through upper-tier partnerships and how and when an upper-tier partner's basis should be adjusted when a lower-tier partnership is subject to a Section 163(j) limitation. Treasury has also reserved on the application of Section 163(j) to a partnership merger or division, and Treasury noted its intent to adopt certain rules for lending transactions between a pass-through entity and one of its owners.

## **5. Section 163(j) Impact on Foreign Corporations**

### **A. APPLICATION TO CFCS AND THEIR SHAREHOLDERS**

In general, the Proposed Regulations clarify that Section 163(j) applies to controlled foreign corporations' ("CFCs") BIE. Thus, a CFC with BIE would apply Section 163(j) for purposes of

computing subpart F income (as defined under Section 952), tested income for GILTI purposes (as defined under Section 951A(c)(2)(A)), and income that is effectively connected with the conduct of a US trade or business ("ECI").

The benefit of a CFC's interest expense deducted in arriving at tested income is effectively eliminated to the extent that the interest expense is deducted from the US shareholder's net deemed tangible income return under Section 951A(b)(2)(B). Thus, imposing a Section 163(j) limit on a CFC's interest deductions does not inflict any additional pain to the extent that the interest deductions would have, in any event, reduced the US shareholder's deemed tangible income return and, consequently, increased the US shareholder's GILTI. As a practical matter, the imposition of a Section 163(j) limit on a CFC's interest deductions causes an increase in GILTI when the interest expense deductions that otherwise would be allocable to tested income are in excess of the US shareholder's net deemed tangible income return.

### ***i. CFC Group Election***

The default method would require the Section 163(j) limitation to be calculated on a CFC-by-CFC basis with no netting of BII of one CFC against the BIE of another CFC. As a relief for taxpayers, the Proposed Regulations provide for an option to elect an alternative method ("CFC group election") that generally disregards certain intragroup transactions and would limit the amount of BIE of a CFC group member to the amount of the member's allocable share of the group's net BIE. The applicable net BIE of a CFC group is the excess, if any, of the sum of the amounts of BIE of each CFC group member over the sum of the amounts of BII of each CFC group member. A CFC group member's allocable share is computed by multiplying the applicable net BIE of the CFC group by a fraction, the numerator of which is the CFC group member's net BIE (computed on a separate company basis), and the denominator of which is the sum

of the amounts of the net BIE of each CFC group member with net BIE (computed on a separate company basis).

For this purpose, a CFC group means two or more CFCs, if at least 80% of the stock by value of each CFC is owned (within the meaning of Section 958(a)) by a single US shareholder or, in aggregate, by related US shareholders that own stock of each member in the same proportion. If one or more CFC group members conduct a financial services business, typically highly leveraged with significant amounts of BIE and BII, the alternative method is applied by treating those entities as comprising a separate subgroup to separate those entities from other, non-financial services business CFC group members. Also, a controlled partnership (in general, a partnership in which CFC group members own, in aggregate, at least 80% of the interests) is treated as a CFC group member, and the interest in the controlled partnership is treated as stock.

A CFC with ECI may not compute its Section 163(j) limitation under the alternative method. The CFC group and any financial services subgroup must exclude such CFC from all group-level computations.

## ***ii. Rules for Computing ATI***

To mitigate potential double-counting of income taken into account in the ATI of a CFC, any dividend received by an applicable CFC from a related person is subtracted from the distributee's taxable income, as the dividend represents income that could be part of the distributing corporation's ATI.

In the case of a US shareholder of a CFC, to avoid double counting of the taxable income already included in ATI of a CFC, the US shareholder must subtract from ATI any gross income under subpart F, GILTI inclusion amounts and Section 78 gross-up inclusions in computing its ATI (subject to the "addback rule" discussed below when the "CFC group election" is in effect). Simultaneously, the US shareholder

must add back to its ATI the Section 250 deduction that was attributable to the GILTI inclusion amount subtracted from the US shareholder's ATI (the Section 250 deduction would otherwise decrease the US shareholder's ATI given that it is factored into the general calculation of taxable income).

Where a CFC group election is in effect, there can be "rolling up" of excess taxable income to a higher-tier member. That is, an upper-tier CFC group member takes into account a proportionate share of the "excess" ATI of each lower-tier member in which it directly owns stock for purposes of computing the upper-tier member's ATI.

Further, if a US shareholder owns, directly or indirectly through one or more foreign partnerships, stock of the specified highest-tier member for which a CFC group election is in effect and the specified highest-tier member has CFC excess taxable income attributable to taxable income of the CFC group that resulted in the US shareholder having specified income inclusions, the US shareholder may add to its taxable income an amount equal to its proportionate share of the "eligible" CFC excess taxable income of the specified highest-tier member and any other highest-tier members (the "addback rule"). The "eligible" CFC excess taxable income under this addback rule shall be the portion of the CFC excess taxable income that is attributable to income that gave rise to subpart F or GILTI inclusions for the US shareholder (reduced by the portion of any Section 250 deduction that is allowable by reason of the GILTI inclusion). If a US shareholder of a CFC group member with a CFC group election is a domestic partnership, this addback rule does not apply. However, if a US shareholder partnership has a domestic C corporation partner (a US corporate partner), the addback rule is applied to the US corporate partner for purposes of computing the US corporate partner's ATI.

## B. APPLICATION TO FOREIGN PERSONS WITH ECI

Foreign persons are taxed only on ECI. To reflect this, the definitions for ATI, BIE, BII and floor plan financing interest expense are modified to limit such amounts to ECI items and expenses properly allocable to ECI.

In the case of a partnership engaged in a US trade or business, the excess amounts of the partnership income can be used by a foreign partner (nonresident alien individual or non-CFC foreign corporation) only to the extent of the partnership's income that would be ECI. Thus, the amount of excess BII that can be used by such foreign partner is limited to effectively connected BII over allocable effectively connected BIE.

For a foreign corporation that has ECI, it must first determine its BIE allocable to ECI under Treasury Regulation Section 1.882-5 before applying Section 163(j) to determine if a portion of such BIE is disallowed. If the foreign corporation is also a partner in a partnership that has ECI, the foreign corporation must back out that portion of the BIE determined under Treasury Regulation Section 1.882-5 (because such BIE has already been subject to Section 163(j) at the partnership level) and the foreign corporation is then left with only the non-partnership BIE.

The Proposed Regulations also provide that disallowance and carryforwards of BIE will not affect the determination of effectively connected earnings and profits or US net equity for purposes of the branch profits tax under Section 884.

## 6. Certain Transition Rules

The Proposed Regulations provide for two transition rules: (a) a rule for corporations subject to the Section 163(j) limitation that join an acquiring consolidated group whose taxable year began before January 1, 2018 (which is therefore not yet subject to the new Section

163(j) limitation), and (b) a rule for taxpayers with carryforwards under Section 163(j) as it existed before the TCJA ("Old 163(j)").

First, the Proposed Regulations provide that, where a target corporation that is subject to the Section 163(j) limitation joins a consolidated group whose taxable year began before January 1, 2018, the status of the acquiring group will control the application of Section 163(j) to the target corporation for the period the target corporation is included in the acquiring consolidated group. For example, assume that on May 31, 2018, X, a stand-alone calendar year corporation is acquired by an acquiring consolidated group with a November 30 fiscal year. Since the acquiring group has a taxable year that began before January 1, 2018, the acquiring group is not yet subject to new Section 163(j). The Proposed Regulations provide that X is subject to Section 163(j) for its short taxable year ended May 31, 2018 but is not subject to Section 163(j) for its taxable period beginning June 1, 2018 as a member of the acquiring consolidated group.

Second, the Proposed Regulations provide for two transition rules for certain carryforwards under Old 163(j). In some circumstances, Old 163(j) disallowed a deduction to a corporation for "disqualified interest" paid or accrued by the corporation during the taxable year if Old 163(j) applied to such year.<sup>5</sup> Further, Old 163(j) provided that any disallowed amount would be treated as disqualified interest paid or accrued in the succeeding taxable year. Consistent with the proposal in Notice 2018-28, the Proposed Regulations provide that a taxpayer's interest expense for which a deduction was disallowed under Old 163(j) is carried forward to the taxpayer's first taxable year beginning after December 31, 2017 (and is subject to disallowance under "new" Section 163(j) and, according to Notice 2018-28, to the new base erosion and anti-abuse tax of Section 59A).

Old 163(j) allowed a corporation that was subject to limitation under Old 163(j) to add to its

annual limitation any “excess limitation carryforward” from the prior taxable year.<sup>6</sup> Consistent with the proposal in Notice 2018-28, the Proposed Regulations state that, since new Section 163(j) does not include a provision for excess limitation carryforward, no amount of excess limitation left over under Old 163(j) from earlier taxable years can be carried forward to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

---

*For more information about the topics raised in this Legal Update, please contact any of the following lawyers.*

**Steven D. Garden**

+1 312 701 7830  
[sgarden@mayerbrown.com](mailto:sgarden@mayerbrown.com)

**Gary B. Wilcox**

+1 202 263 3399  
[gwilcox@mayerbrown.com](mailto:gwilcox@mayerbrown.com)

**Jeffrey M. Bruns**

+1 312 701 8793  
[jbruns@mayerbrown.com](mailto:jbruns@mayerbrown.com)

**James R. Barry**

+1 312 701 7169  
[jbarry@mayerbrown.com](mailto:jbarry@mayerbrown.com)

**Edward C. Osterberg, Jr.**

+1 713 238 2666  
[osterberg@mayerbrown.com](mailto:osterberg@mayerbrown.com)

**Lucas Giardelli**

+1 212 506 2238  
[lgiardelli@mayerbrown.com](mailto:lgiardelli@mayerbrown.com)

**Isaac L. Maron**

+1 212 506 2238  
[imaron@mayerbrown.com](mailto:imaron@mayerbrown.com)

**Minju Kim**

+1 212 506 2169  
[mikim@mayerbrown.com](mailto:mikim@mayerbrown.com)

**Brennan W. Young**

+1 212 506 2691  
[byoung@mayerbrown.com](mailto:byoung@mayerbrown.com)

**Xiao Xiao**

+1 312 701 8407  
[xxiao@mayerbrown.com](mailto:xxiao@mayerbrown.com)

---

## Endnotes

- <sup>1</sup> The Proposed Regulations are available at <https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-drop/REG-106089-18-NPRM.pdf>.
- <sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise stated, all section references are to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), and the Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder.
- <sup>3</sup> For an overview of the TCJA (including Section 163(j)) and related IRS guidance implementing the same, see the Mayer Brown Tax Reform Roadmap, available at <https://www.mayerbrown.com/experience/us-tax-reform-roadmap/>.
- <sup>4</sup> However, as explained in the Proposed Regulations, the BIE would retain its character as such at the partner level for other purposes of the Code, such as the passive activity loss limitations under Section 469.
- <sup>5</sup> Old 163(j) generally defined “disqualified interest” as interest paid or accrued to a related wholly or partially tax-exempt party. Old 163(j) only disallowed interest expense up to a corporation’s “excess interest expense” for a year,

which was generally the corporation’s “net interest expense” exceeding 50% of the corporation’s adjusted taxable income.

- <sup>6</sup> Under Old 163(j), a corporation’s “excess limitation” for a taxable year was the excess of 50% of the corporation’s adjusted taxable income over the corporation’s net interest expense. The excess limitation was permitted to be carried forward into the corporation’s three succeeding years. The excess limitation carryforward could then be used to reduce excess interest expense in the carryover year.

---

Mayer Brown is a distinctively global law firm, uniquely positioned to advise the world’s leading companies and financial institutions on their most complex deals and disputes. With extensive reach across four continents, we are the only integrated law firm in the world with approximately 200 lawyers in each of the world’s three largest financial centers—New York, London and Hong Kong—the backbone of the global economy. We have deep experience in high-stakes litigation and complex transactions across industry sectors, including our signature strength, the global financial services industry. Our diverse teams of lawyers are recognized by our clients as strategic

partners with deep commercial instincts and a commitment to creatively anticipating their needs and delivering excellence in everything we do. Our “one-firm” culture—seamless and integrated across all practices and regions—ensures that our clients receive the best of our knowledge and experience..

Please visit [www.mayerbrown.com](http://www.mayerbrown.com) for comprehensive contact information for all Mayer Brown offices.

Any tax advice expressed above by Mayer Brown LLP was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer to avoid U.S. federal tax penalties. If such advice was written or used to support the promotion or marketing of the matter addressed above, then each offeree should seek advice from an independent tax advisor.

This Mayer Brown publication provides information and comments on legal issues and developments of interest to our clients and friends. The foregoing is not a comprehensive treatment of the subject matter covered and is not intended to provide legal advice. Readers should seek legal advice before taking any action with respect to the matters discussed herein.

Mayer Brown is a global services provider comprising associated legal practices that are separate entities, including Mayer Brown LLP (Illinois, USA), Mayer Brown International LLP (England), Mayer Brown (a Hong Kong partnership) and Tauil & Chequer Advogados (a Brazilian law partnership) (collectively the “Mayer Brown Practices”) and non-legal service providers, which provide consultancy services (the “Mayer Brown Consultancies”). The Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Consultancies are established in various jurisdictions and may be a legal person or a partnership. Details of the individual Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Consultancies can be found in the Legal Notices section of our website.

“Mayer Brown” and the Mayer Brown logo are the trademarks of Mayer Brown.

© 2018 Mayer Brown. All rights reserved.