

Powers of Attorney in Fund Financing Transactions

By Mark C. Dempsey, Michael P. Gaffney and Anastasia N. Kaup¹

Introduction

A power of attorney (“POA”) is a written agreement wherein an individual or organizational person (the “principal”) provides advance authority to another party (the “agent”) to make certain decisions, to execute certain documents or to act on the principal’s behalf, generally or in certain circumstances. POAs can take the form of stand-alone documents or can be included within other documents (e.g., within a security agreement for a secured lending transaction). Grants of POAs are commonly included in security documents for secured lending transactions to enable the agent to take actions (e.g., direct the disposition of proceeds within the principal’s account, execute and deposit checks) on behalf of the principal and usually spring into effect upon the occurrence of an agreed triggering event, such as an event of default under the related credit documents. While POAs are likely to be found in almost all secured lending transactions, there can be nuances related to how such POAs are used in a given transaction and/or jurisdiction. This article discusses some of the issues, considerations and concerns with the use of POAs in subscription credit facility transactions in the United States.

A subscription credit facility (a “Facility”), also frequently referred to as a capital call facility, is a loan made by a bank or other credit institution (the “Lender”) to a private equity or other type of investment vehicle (the “Fund”). The defining characteristic of such Facilities is

the collateral package, which is composed of the rights to make capital calls on the unfunded commitments of the limited partners in the Fund (the “Investors”), to receive capital contributions (“Capital Contributions”) when called from time to time by the Fund’s general partner or manager (the “General Partner”) and to enforce the same, pursuant to a limited partnership agreement executed by the Investor and the General Partner.

Powers of Attorney in Subscription Credit Facilities

GENERALLY

POAs are widely used in Facilities in the United States and are most commonly included as grants of authority within standard collateral documents, as opposed to stand-alone documents. For example, a POA provision within a security agreement might read as follows:

The Lender is hereby granted an irrevocable power of attorney, which is coupled with an interest, to, during the existence and continuance of any Event of Default, (a) execute, deliver and perfect all documents and do all things that the Lender considers to be required or desirable to carry out the acts and exercise the powers set forth in this Security Agreement, and (b) execute all checks, drafts, receipts, instruments, instructions or other documents, agreements or items on behalf of any Pledgor, as shall be deemed

by the Lender to be necessary or advisable to protect the security interests and liens herein granted or the repayment of the secured obligations, and the Lender shall not incur any liability in connection with or arising from the exercise of such power of attorney, except as a result of its own gross negligence or willful misconduct.

Under such a POA, upon the occurrence of an “Event of Default”, the Lender could take any of the specifically aforementioned actions or other unspecified actions that the Lender deems necessary or advisable to protect its security interests and the liens granted under the security agreement, without the requirement to provide prior written notice or obtain written or other consent from the pledgor/principal granting the power of attorney. It is generally understood that a Lender could utilize the POA to, among other things, issue capital call notices, initiate litigation against an Investor in connection with the enforcement of remedies available under the limited partnership agreement, or establish a new bank account of the Fund, in each case in the name of the General Partner. Any such actions would be taken in the name of and on behalf of the General Partner and not in the name of the Lender.

It is generally understood that to be enforceable under New York law, a POA must generally, at a minimum: (a) be clearly stated in writing and (b) be signed and dated by a principal with the capacity to grant the POA. If the power of attorney states that it takes effect upon the occurrence of a contingency (e.g., the occurrence of an event of default under a loan agreement), the power of attorney takes effect only at the time of that occurrence and is not in effect before the occurrence.

Depending on the jurisdiction for applicable governing law, and/or the purpose of the POA or other factors, there may be additional requirements that may be dictated by statute, case law, or otherwise. Such other

requirements could include execution by a witness, the inclusion of specific statutory language or otherwise take a specific form.

It is also important that any granted POA is irrevocable, such that the granting party cannot freely revoke the authority or powers provided in the POA. Generally, a POA coupled with an interest or given as security will be irrevocable unless the parties add express language to preserve the revocability of the POA.² New York courts have held that a POA will only be irrevocable to the extent that (a) the POA affects the legal relations of its creator, (b) the authority under the POA is held by the creator for the benefit of the creator or another third party, (c) the POA was given for consideration and (d) the POA was given to secure the performance of a duty (other than any duty to the creator by reasons of agency).³

OTHER USES OF POWERS OF ATTORNEY IN FACILITIES

While POAs have always been an important component of the security package in a Facility, there are also some uses of a POA outside of inclusion in a broader collateral package. First, a Lender could rely on a power of attorney where a pledge of typical Facility collateral is not available. Such a scenario could arise where the limited partnership agreements or other constituent documents, other contracts or local applicable laws may prohibit the direct grant of security over the right to call Capital Contributions from Investors. This could also arise where the Fund has already granted security over the right to call Capital Contributions from Investors to another creditor. Lastly, we have seen such a POA in the context of equity commitment enhancements where a full grant of security over the right to call Capital Contributions from Investors was not otherwise contemplated. In such scenarios, it is common for the POA to take a more detailed form than the example set forth above that is typically

included in a security agreement. Such a POA would typically be expected to contain fairly detailed descriptions of the specific actions that are able to be taken thereunder by the Lender. In such scenarios, Funds and Lenders should take care that the POA does not contravene or conflict with any applicable restrictions on an outright draft of security over the right to call for Capital Contributions from Investors.

Another scenario where a Lender may rely more heavily on a POA is where the Fund's limited partnership agreement leaves uncertainty over which entity has the rights or the ability to call for Capital Contributions from Investors and the related authority to pledge such rights as security for a Facility. This could arise where a General Partner of a Fund has delegated certain categories of rights to an investment manager for the Fund pursuant to an investment management agreement, where the Fund is organized as a corporation or a limited liability company with a board of directors, or in jurisdictions where the rights to call capital belong to the Fund alone, notwithstanding that the General Partner may issue capital call notices.

In these situations, it is typical not only to take a standard grant of security over the right to call Capital Contributions from Investors but also to supplement such grant with a POA from any applicable parties who may have rights to call capital.

Conclusion

POAs are one more tool that can provide a Lender with rights in connection with a Fund's ability to call Capital Contributions from Investors. Drafted properly, POAs can provide a Lender with the ability to take immediate action after an agreed triggering event, such as the occurrence of an event of default under the Facility documentation, without the need to provide prior written notice to or obtain the consent or cooperation of the Fund or an order of a court. Lenders can benefit from consulting experienced counsel who is knowledgeable about Facilities, POAs and coordinating with applicable local counsel to draft security or other documentation that is likely to achieve the desired effect and be upheld by the courts in insolvency or other stress scenarios.

Endnotes

¹ Mark Dempsey is a partner in the Banking & Finance and Fund Formation & Investment Management practices. Michael Gaffney is a Banking & Finance partner in Mayer Brown's Charlotte office. Anastasia Kaup is a member of Mayer Brown's Banking & Finance practice and represents lenders, investors, funds, sponsors, portfolio companies, investment management

firms, corporate borrowers and other parties in complex banking and financing transactions.

² See Rest.3d Agen §3.12; see also NY General Obligations Law Sec. 5-1511(3)(a).

³ See *Ravalla v. Refrigerated Holdings, Inc.*, No. 08-cv-8207 (CM), 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23353, at *10-11 (S.D.N.Y. February 25, 2009).

Mayer Brown is a distinctively global law firm, uniquely positioned to advise the world's leading companies and financial institutions on their most complex deals and disputes. With extensive reach across four continents, we are the only integrated law firm in the world with approximately 200 lawyers in each of the world's three largest financial centers—New York, London and Hong Kong—the backbone of the global economy. We have deep experience in high-stakes litigation and complex transactions across industry sectors, including our signature strength, the global financial services industry. Our diverse teams of lawyers are recognized by our clients as strategic partners with deep commercial instincts and a commitment to creatively anticipating their needs and delivering excellence in everything we do. Our "one-firm" culture—seamless and integrated across all practices and regions—ensures that our clients receive the best of our knowledge and experience.

Please visit mayerbrown.com for comprehensive contact information for all Mayer Brown offices.

Mayer Brown is a global services provider comprising associated legal practices that are separate entities, including Mayer Brown LLP (Illinois, USA), Mayer Brown International LLP (England), Mayer Brown (a Hong Kong partnership) and Tauil & Chequer Advogados (a Brazilian law partnership) (collectively the "Mayer Brown Practices") and non-legal service providers, which provide consultancy services (the "Mayer Brown Consultancies"). The Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Consultancies are established in various jurisdictions and may be a legal person or a partnership. Details of the individual Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Consultancies can be found in the Legal Notices section of our website. "Mayer Brown" and the Mayer Brown logo are the trademarks of Mayer Brown.

© 2019 Mayer Brown. All rights reserved.

Attorney Advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.