# Parliament set to bolster private competition actions in the UK

The United Kingdom has one of the most advanced regimes in Europe for competition litigation; however, it has not yet seen a substantial uptake of private competition actions.

The Consumer Rights Act, which received Royal Assent on 26 March 2015 and will pass into law on 1 October 2015, aims to reverse this trend, by increasing the attractiveness and viability of private actions based on infringements of competition law. For businesses, this means greater opportunities to ensure proactively that their competitors, suppliers and business partners compete fairly, but also higher stakes in the event of non-compliance.

This alert explains the key changes contemplated by the Act:

- establishing the Competition Appeals Tribunal ("CAT") as the venue of choice for competition litigation;
- enabling collective actions to be brought on an "opt-out" basis; and
- 3. promoting alternative dispute resolution for competition claims.

## The CAT as a venue of choice for competition litigation

Currently, the CAT has jurisdiction to hear private follow on competition law damages actions only where an infringement has already been established by a regulator's decision, either unappealed or upheld following final appeal. From 1 October this year, the Act will empower the CAT to hear standalone claims not grounded in a prior regulator decision. It will also enhance the CAT's procedural powers by enabling it to grant injunctions, extend the statutory limitation

period for the CAT to six years (bringing it in line with the High Court of England and Wales) and enable the CAT to fast-track straightforward follow on actions. These changes, combined with the CAT's specialism for competition law, increase its attractiveness as a venue of choice for parties contemplating competition claims.

### Collective actions on an opt-out basis

A significant reason for the low uptake of collective actions in the UK has been the requirement that consumers actively opt in to participate in the claim. In the only case brought under the current opt-in regime, less than 0.1% of those affected opted to participate. In contrast, in an opt-out regime, the litigation is brought on behalf of the class of consumers who are affected by the anticompetitive behaviour and consumers must actively opt out if they do not want to participate. This brings to mind the US-style class action system, which both businesses and government in the UK are keen to avoid - but Parliament has debated the merits of introducing an opt-out regime and has come out in favour, provided certain safeguards are put in place. Key safeguards are as follows.

- To avoid weak or excessive claims being brought, the Act establishes a robust certification process: the CAT must consider whether the case is suitable for collective action, the class of persons eligible to claim and the appropriateness of the representative.
- The Act specifically states that court may not grant punitive damages and it establishes a presumption that the losing party will pay the other party's costs.
- Contingency fees, where the claimant's advisor retains a percentage of the damages awarded, are not permitted.

### Alternative dispute resolution for competition litigation

The Act also provides for settlement of opt-out collective actions and, significantly, introduces voluntary redress schemes. This means the UK Competition and Markets Authority may approve an infringer's voluntary proposal to pay compensation to the victims of its infringement, increasing the ability of consumers to obtain redress without having to resort to court.

### Conclusion

The Act will to come into force on 1 October 2015. The changes it will bring about greatly increase the chance of consumers and smaller businesses obtaining redress. Although it remains to be seen how far redress will be actively pursued, especially in light of uncertainty as to how actions will be funded, the importance of competition compliance has never been higher, and the need for potential claimants to weigh their options carefully has never been stronger.

#### Contact



Miles Robinson
Partner, London
E: miles.robinson@mayerbrown.com
T: +44 20 3130 3974



Gillian Sproul
Partner, London
E: gsproul@mayerbrown.com
T: +44 20 3130 3313



Kiran Desai
Partner, Brussels
E: kdesai@mayerbrown.com
T: +32 2 502 5517



Julian Ellison
Partner, Brussels
E: jellison@mayerbrown.com
T: +32 2 502 5517

Mayer Brown is a global legal services provider advising many of the world's largest companies, including a significant portion of the Fortune 100, FTSE 100, DAX and Hang Seng Index companies and more than half of the world's largest banks. Our legal services include banking and finance; corporate and securities; litigation and dispute resolution; antitrust and competition; US Supreme Court and appellate matters; employment and benefits; environmental; financial services regulatory and enforcement; government and global trade; intellectual property; real estate; tax; restructuring, bankruptcy and insolvency; and wealth management.

 $Please\ visit\ www.mayer brown.com\ for\ comprehensive\ contact\ information\ for\ all\ Mayer\ Brown\ offices.$ 

This Mayer Brown publication provides information and comments on legal issues and developments of interest to our clients and friends. The foregoing is not a comprehensive treatment of the subject matter covered and is not intended to provide legal advice. Readers should seek legal advice before taking any action with respect to the matters discussed herein.

Mayer Brown is a global legal services provider comprising legal practices that are separate entities (the "Mayer Brown Practices"). The Mayer Brown Practices are: Mayer Brown LLP and Mayer Brown Europe-Brussels LLP, both limited liability partnership incorporated in England and Wales (authorized and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and registered in England and Wales number OC 303359); Mayer Brown, a SELAS established in France; Mayer Brown JSM, a Hong Kong partnership and its associated legal practices in Asia; and Tauil & Chequer Advogados, a Brazilian law partnership with which Mayer Brown is associated. Mayer Brown Consulting (Singapore) Pte. Ltd and its subsidiary, which are affiliated with Mayer Brown, provide customs and trade advisory and consultancy services, not legal services.

"Mayer Brown" and the Mayer Brown logo are the trademarks of the Mayer Brown Practices in their respective jurisdictions. The properties of the Mayer Brown Practices in their respective jurisdictions are the properties of the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices are the properties of the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices are the properties of the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices are the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices are the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices are the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices are the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices and the Mayer Brown Practices are the Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Practices are the Mayer Brown Practices and Mayer Brown Practice