

No. 06-1196

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

KHALED A.F. AL ODAH, *et al.*
Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*,
Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States
Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF
JUVENILE LAW CENTER, *et. al.* AS *AMICI CURIAE*,
AND BRIEF OF JUVENILE LAW CENTER *et. al.*
IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT OMAR KHADR

Marsha L. Levick, Esq.*

**Counsel of Record*

Mia V. Carpiniello, Esq.

Neha Desai, Esq.

JUVENILE LAW CENTER

1315 Walnut Street, Suite 400

Philadelphia, PA 19107

Telephone: (215) 625-0551

Fax: (215) 625-2808

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF JUVENILE
LAW CENTER, *et. al.*
AS *AMICI CURIAE*
IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT OMAR KHADR**

Pursuant to Rule 37.3(b) of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States Juvenile Law Center, *et. al.* hereby requests leave to file the accompanying *amicus curiae* brief. This brief is submitted in support of the petition for writ of certiorari to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Petitioners and Respondents Khadr and Khalid have consented to the filing of this brief. Counsel for Respondent President Bush has also consented to our request for consent.

1. Juvenile Law Center (JLC), one of the oldest public interest law firms for children in the United States, was founded in 1975 to advance the rights and well-being of children in jeopardy. JLC pays particular attention to the needs of children who come within the purview of public agencies: for example, abused or neglected children placed in foster homes, delinquent youth sent to residential treatment facilities or adult prisons, or children in placement with specialized services needs. More detailed information about JLC is available at www.jlc.org.
2. JLC works to integrate juvenile justice practice and policy with knowledge of adolescent development. JLC has edited several publications on this topic, including *Understanding Adolescents: A Juvenile Court Training Curriculum* (L. Rosado, American Bar Association Juvenile Justice Center, Juvenile Law Center, Youth Law Center 2000); *Youth on Trial: A Developmental Perspective on Juvenile Justice*, an examination of the impact of the legal

system on adolescent development and psychology published in 2000 (Thomas Grisso and Robert G. Schwartz, University of Chicago Press). JLC has also used knowledge of adolescent development to inform its contributions in two recent amicus briefs to this Court: *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U.S. 551 (2005) (regarding the constitutionality of the death penalty for minors aged sixteen and seventeen at the time of their crimes) and *Yarborough v. Alvarado*, 124 S.Ct. 1706 (2004) (regarding whether a minor's age was properly considered when determining if the minor was in custody during a police interrogation).

3. JLC is particularly concerned with juvenile and criminal justice systems and their effect on children's emotional and psychological health. JLC is an active participant in the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Adolescent Development and Juvenile Justice, addressing the effects of juvenile and adult incarceration on juvenile offenders.
4. JLC helps to facilitate a national dialogue on juvenile justice issues both by participating as *amici* in cases across the country and conducting trainings at national conferences hosted by organizations such as the American Bar Association, National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the National Association of Council for Children. JLC is also a co-founder, with the American Bar Association and the Youth Law Center, of the National Juvenile Defender Center (NJDC). NJDC offers a wide range of integrated services to juvenile defenders, including training, technical assistance, advocacy, networking, collaboration, capacity building and coordination.

5.

Re

DATED: /

- logy
G.
ias
it to
riefs
eath
at
l
l
nile
dult
ig
s
so a
l
rs a
ce,
5. JLC is deeply concerned by the possibility that the alternative process to *habeas corpus* provided for under the Military Commission Act (MCA) may be applied to a juvenile. Congress' silence regarding juveniles in the MCA indicates that Congress never intended for military commissions to have jurisdiction over child soldiers. JLC seeks to establish that federal law consistently differentiates between juveniles and adults. Therefore, it would be illogical to read jurisdiction into a silent statute when juveniles are routinely treated differently by federal law.

Respectfully submitted,

Marsha Levick ^{dr}

Marsha L. Levick, Esq.
Counsel of Record
Mia V. Carpiniello, Esq.
Neha Desai, Esq.
JUVENILE LAW CENTER
1315 Walnut Street, Suite 400
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Telephone: (215) 625-0551
Fax: (215) 625-2808
Counsel for Amicus Curiae

DATED: August 24, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii	
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI</i>.....	1	UT
IDENTITY OF <i>AMICI</i>	1	<u>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</u> (2004).....
STATEMENT OF FACTS.....	2	<u>Bd. of Educ. v. Garfield</u>
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2	<u>Bellotti v. Ladd</u>
ARGUMENT.....	3	<u>Bethel Sch. Dist. v. Freese</u>
I. FEDERAL LAW CONSISTENTLY RECOGNIZES THE DEVELOPMENTAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ADOLESCENTS AND ADULTS.....	4	<u>Gallegos v. State</u>
A. United States Supreme Court Jurisprudence Consistently Differentiates Between Adolescents and Adults.....	5	<u>In re Gault</u>
B. Congress Has Differentiated Between Minors and Adults in the Criminal Context.....	13	<u>Ginsburg v. Ledesma</u> <u>Haley v. O'Leary</u> <u>Hazelwood Sch. Dist. v. Kuhlmeier</u> <u>Hodgson v. Long</u>
II. RECENT SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH SUPPORTS THE LONG-HELD VIEW THAT ADOLESCENTS ARE CATEGORICALLY DIFFERENT THAN ADULTS.....	15	<u>Johnson v. State</u> <u>Kaupp v. State</u> <u>Lee v. Weisman</u>
CONCLUSION.....	24	<u>Marsh v. State</u>
IDENTITY OF <i>AMICI</i>	APPENDIX A	<u>May v. Ariz.</u> <u>McKeiver</u>

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CASES

... ii
1
1
2
2
3
4
 ace
 ...5
 .13
 T
 15
 .24
 A

Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union, 124 S. Ct. 2783 (2004).....9

Bd. of Educ. of Indep. Sch. Dist. No. 92 of Pottawatomie County v. Earls, 536 U.S. 822 (2002).....8

Bellotti v. Baird, 443 U.S. 622 (1979).....8, 9

Bethel Sch. Dist. No. 403 v. Fraser, 478 U.S. 675 (1986).....10

Gallegos v. Colorado, 370 U.S. 49 (1962)6

In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1 (1967)6, 7

Ginsburg v. New York, 390 U.S. 629 (1968)9, 10

Haley v. Ohio, 332 U.S. 596 (1948)5

Hazelwood Sch. Dist. v. Kuhlmeier, 484 U.S. 260 (1988)10

Hodgson v. Minnesota, 497 U.S. 417 (1990)8, 9

Johnson v. Texas, 509 U.S. 350 (1993).....12

Kaupp v. Texas, 538 U.S. 626 (2003)6

Lee v. Weisman, 505 U.S. 577 (1992)11

Marsh v. Chambers, 463 U.S. 783 (1983).....11

May v. Anderson, 345 U.S. 528 (1953)5

McKeiver v Pennsylvania, 403 U.S. 528 (1971).....7

<u>Morse v. Frederick</u> , 127 S.Ct. 2618 (2007).....	10	10 U.S.C. §
<u>New Jersey v.T.L.O.</u> , 469 U.S. 325 (1985)	7, 8	10 U.S.C. §
<u>Parham v. J.R.</u> , 442 U.S. 584 (1979)	9	18 U.S.C. §
<u>Prince v. Massachusetts</u> , 321 U.S. 158 (1944).....	10	18 U.S.C. §
<u>Roper v. Simmons</u> , 543 U.S. 551 (2005).....	12, 20, 21, 22	18 U.S.C. §
<u>Santa Fe Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Doe</u> , 530 U.S. 290 (2000).....	11	
<u>Schall v. Martin</u> , 467 U.S. 253 (1984)	8, 10	ACA
<u>Vernonia Sch. Dist. v. Acton</u> , 515 U.S. 646 (1995)	8, 10	J. Arnett, R Per
<u>Yarborough v. Alvarado</u> , 124 S. Ct. 2140 (2004)	6, 7	Thomas J. I anc

OTHER FEDERAL CASES

<u>Fagerstrom v. United States</u> , 311 F. 2d 717 (8 th Cir. 1963).....	14, 15	Elizabeth C Juc Cu. (20
<u>Hutchins v. District of Columbia</u> , 188 F.3d 531 (D.C. Cir. 1999)...	10	
<u>In re Sealed Case</u> , 893 F.2d 363 (D.C.Cir. 1990).....	14	Steven A. I Co (20
<u>Qutb v. Strauss</u> , 11 F.3d 488 (5th Cir. 1993)	10	Barry C. F Im, Ad ...
<u>Schleifer v. City of Charlottesville</u> , 159 F.3d 843 (4th Cir. 1998).....	10	
<u>United States v. Frasquillo-Zomosa</u> , 626 F. 2d 99 (9 th Cir. 1980)..	14	Thomas Gi Co an Hu

FEDERAL STATUTES

10 U.S.C. § 948a-948c.....	12
10 U.S.C. § 948d(d).....	12

